IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6029 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

HADMAT GROUP GRAM PANCHAYAT

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Petitioners

MS HANSA PUNANI, ld.AGP for respondent-State

MR MUKESH R SHAH for Respondents No. 3

MR VIJAY H PATEL for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

Date of decision: 09/10/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioner in this petition has challenged the notification dated 12th July 1996 at Annexure.A

dividing Hadmat Group Gram Panchayat into three new village Panchayats called Hadmat, Vankaner and Nana Borida village Panchayats.

This Court on 13.10.1997 granted interim relief and stayed the operation, execution and implementation of the impugned notification and restrainecd the respondents from appointing Administrator till the disposal of the petition or till 11th July 2000 whichever is earlier.

Learned Counsel Mr.M.R.Shah appearing for the respondent no.3 states that the term of the Panchayat has come to an end in July 2000 and the Administrator has already been appointed and the new election is required to be held. Hence the petition has become infructuous.

In this view of the matter, the petition has become infructuous. Rejected as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief stands vacated. Liberty is reserved to apply in case of any difficulty.

(K.R. Vyas, J.)

Sreeram.